Shared Purity of Multipartite Quantum States

Anindya Biswas

Collaborators: Aditi Sen De & Ujjwal Sen



Harish-Chandra Research Institute

February 18, 2014

Motivation

- 2 Shared purity: definition & properties
- 3 Bipartite and multipartite mixed states: some examples
- 4 Monogamy properties
- 5 Application: detecting criticality in quantum spin models

6 Summary

- Quantum teleportation
- Superdense coding
- Entanglement based quantum cryptography
- Quantum nonlocality without entanglement
- Deterministic quantum computation with one qubit
- Secure deterministic communication without entanglement

Quantum information protocols can achieve higher efficiencies than their classical counterparts, if they exist.

Quantum mechanical resource

- Quantum entanglement
- Some of them do not employ entanglement

What then is the resource?

- Quantum discord seems to be the answer
- However, intriguing questions remain
- Quantum entanglement \longrightarrow entanglement-separability
- Quantum discord \longrightarrow information-theoretic

Entanglement-separability paradigm

- Concurrence
- Output Constraints and Cons
- Geometric measure, etc.

Information-theoretic paradigm

- Quantum discord
- Quantum work deficit
- Symmetric discord, etc.

Shared purity \longrightarrow not a measure of quantum correlation

1 Motivation

- 2 Shared purity: definition & properties
- 3 Bipartite and multipartite mixed states: some examples
- 4 Monogamy properties
- 5 Application: detecting criticality in quantum spin models

6 Summary

Fidelity

Pure quantum states are privileged

- Maximum advantage \longrightarrow for pure shared states
- \bullet Pure quantum states \longrightarrow vanishing entropy \Longrightarrow full information of the system is available



• Shared purity defined for an arbitrary quantum state of shared systems of an arbitrary number of parties in arbitrary dimensions

Definition

The "global fidelity" of an N-party arbitrary (pure or mixed) quantum state, $\rho_{1...N}$, on $\mathcal{H} = \mathbb{C}^{d_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_N}$,

$$F_G = \max_{\{|\phi\rangle_{1...N} \in \mathcal{H}\}} 1...N \langle \phi | \rho_{1...N} | \phi \rangle_{1...N},$$

where the maximization is performed over all elements (pure states) of \mathcal{H} .

Measures the lack of disorder present in the system

• Unity for all pure states in arbitrary dimensions

Proposition

For an arbitrary mixed state $\rho_{1...N}$, F_G is the largest eigenvalue in the spectrum of the state.

Proof.

•
$$\rho_{1...N} = \sum_{i} \lambda_i |e_i\rangle \langle e_i|, \{|e_i\rangle\} \longrightarrow \text{(orthonormal basis spanning }\mathcal{H}\text{)}$$

• $|\phi\rangle_{1...N} = \sum_{i} a_i |e_i\rangle, \sum_{i} |a_i|^2 = 1$
• $F_G = \max_{a_i} \sum_{i} |a_i|^2 \lambda_i$
• $\lambda_r \longrightarrow \text{largest eigenvalue}$
• $a_r = 1 \text{ and } a_{i,i\neq r} = 0 \text{ (assumption)} \Longrightarrow F_G \ge \lambda_r$
• $F_G \le \max_{a_i} \sum_{i} |a_i|^2 \lambda_r = \lambda_r, \text{ since } \lambda_i \le \lambda_r \quad \forall i$
• $F_G = \lambda_r$

Definition

The "local fidelity", of the N-party quantum state $\rho_{1...N}$

$$F_L = \max_{\{|\phi\rangle_{1...N} \in S\}} 1...N \langle \phi | \rho_{1...N} | \phi \rangle_{1...N},$$

where the maximization is carried out over a certain set S, of pure product states.

Hierarchy of local fidelities depending on ${\cal S}$ Two extreme cases:

- fully separable states
- genuinely multiparty entangled states

Proposition

For an arbitrary pure N-party state $|\psi\rangle_{1...N}$, F_L^{n-gen} is the square of the maximal Schmidt coefficient among all bipartitions. (optimization over states that are not genuinely multiparty entangled)

Proof.

- $F_L = \max_{\{|\phi\rangle_{1...N} \in S_{n-gen}\}} |\langle \phi |\psi \rangle|^2 = 1 \mathcal{E}, \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \text{generalized geometric}$ measure
- $\mathcal{E}(|\psi\rangle) = 1 \max\{\lambda_{\mathcal{A}:\mathcal{B}}^2 | \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} = \{1, \dots, N\}, \mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B} = \emptyset\}$, where $\lambda_{\mathcal{A}:\mathcal{B}}$ is the maximal Schmidt coefficient in the $\mathcal{A}:\mathcal{B}$ bipartition

Theorem

For an arbitrary bipartite (pure or mixed) state, on $\mathbb{C}^{d_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_2}$, the minimum value attained by F_L is λ_r/d , where $d = \min\{d_1, d_2\}$, and λ_r is the largest eigenvalue in the spectrum of ρ .

Proof.

We have

$$F_L(\rho) = \max_{\{|\phi\rangle \in S_L\}} \langle \phi | \rho | \phi \rangle$$
$$= \max_{\{|\phi\rangle \in S_L\}} \sum_i \lambda_i |\langle \phi | e_i \rangle|^2,$$

where $\sum_{i} \lambda_{i} |e_{i}\rangle \langle e_{i}|$ is a spectral decomposition of the bipartite quantum state ρ . Therefore,

$$F_L(\rho) \ge \max_{\{|\phi\rangle \in S_L\}} \lambda_r |\langle \phi | e_r \rangle|^2.$$

The property follows from the fact that $F_L \ge \frac{1}{d}$ for any pure state in $\mathbb{C}^{d_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_2}$. **Corollary 3.1.** For an arbitrary bipartite (pure or mixed) state, on $\mathbb{C}^{d_1} \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_2}$, the maximum value attained by S_P is $\lambda_r(1-1/d)$, where $d = \min\{d_1, d_2\}$, and λ_r is the largest eigenvalue in spectrum of ρ . Shared purity: $S_P = F_G - F_L$

- The shared purity vanishes for pure product states of the form $|\psi_1\rangle\otimes\ldots\otimes|\psi_N\rangle$.
- For an arbitrary N-party pure state $|\psi\rangle_{1...N}$ in arbitrary dimensions, the shared purity is a geometric measure of entanglement.
- The shared purity is invariant under local unitary operations.
- For classically correlated states, the global and local fidelities are equal.
- For a state of the form $\rho_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \rho_N$ on $\mathbb{C}^{d_1} \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathbb{C}^{d_N}$, shared purity vanishes.

1 Motivation

- 2 Shared purity: definition & properties
- 3 Bipartite and multipartite mixed states: some examples
 - 4 Monogamy properties
 - 5 Application: detecting criticality in quantum spin models

Summary

Admixtures of a Bell state with a pure product state

 $\rho_{ent} = p|00\rangle\langle 00| + (1-p)|\psi^-\rangle\langle\psi^-|$

$$|\psi^-
angle=rac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|01
angle-|10
angle)$$
 and $0\leq p\leq 1$

The state is entangled for any value of p < 1.

$$S_P = \frac{(1-p)(1-2p)}{2-3p}, \qquad 0 \le p < \frac{1}{2},$$

= 0,
$$\frac{1}{2} \le p \le 1.$$

- Shared purity \longrightarrow zero for this mixed entangled state
- Distillable entanglement \longrightarrow zero for some entangled states



Figure : The most interesting region is $\frac{1}{2} \le p < 1$, where the shared purity vanishes, although the state has a nonzero entanglement there.

Admixtures of pure states with noise

- $\rho_{gen} = p |\psi\rangle \langle \psi| + \frac{(1-p)}{4} I \otimes I,$
- $|\psi\rangle = \cos \theta |00\rangle + \sin \theta |11\rangle$ with $0 \le \theta \le \pi/4$, $0 \le p \le 1$
- $S_P = p \sin^2 \theta$
- $\rho_{gen} \longrightarrow$ Werner state when $\theta = \frac{\pi}{4}$, $S_P = \frac{p}{2}$
- Werner state is entangled for p>1/3

- $\bullet\,$ Quantum discord and quantum work-deficit are non-vanishing for p>0
- Shared purity can be positive for separable states



Figure : S_P is always nonzero for $p > 0 \& \theta > 0$.

Bell mixtures

$$\rho_{Bell} = p|\psi^-\rangle\langle\psi^-| + (1-p)|\psi^+\rangle\langle\psi^+|,$$
$$|\psi^+\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(|01\rangle + |10\rangle) \text{ and } 0 \le p \le 1.$$

Note that the state is entangled for all values of p except p = 1/2.

$$S_P = p - \frac{1}{2}$$
 for $p \ge \frac{1}{2}$,
 $= \frac{1}{2} - p$ for $p < \frac{1}{2}$.

Just like any quantum correlation measure, shared purity, in this case, is also a mirror reflection with respect to the p = 1/2 line. This is a result of the local unitary invariance of shared purity.



Figure : S_P is vanishing only at p = 1/2.

 $N\text{-}\mathsf{party}$ Greenberger-Horne-Zeilinger state, mixed with white noise, in $\left(\mathbb{C}^d\right)^{\otimes N}$.

$$\rho_{GHZ_N} = p |\psi\rangle \langle \psi| + (1-p) \left(\frac{1}{d} I_d \otimes \ldots \otimes \frac{1}{d} I_d \right),$$

$$|\psi\rangle = \frac{1}{\sqrt{d}}(|0_1...0_N\rangle + ... + |(d-1)_1...(d-1)_N\rangle) \text{ and } 0 \le p \le 1.$$

 I_d denotes the identity operator on \mathbb{C}^d , and $\{|i_j\rangle\}_{i=0}^{d-1}$ for $j = 1, \ldots, N$ forms an orthonormal basis in the Hilbert space of the *j*th particle.

$$S_P = p\left(1 - \frac{1}{d}\right).$$

Note that the shared purity never vanishes except at p = 0.

1 Motivation

- 2 Shared purity: definition & properties
- 3 Bipartite and multipartite mixed states: some examples

4 Monogamy properties

5 Application: detecting criticality in quantum spin models

6 Summary

Monogamy

- Multiparty quantum system in a state $\longrightarrow \rho_{1...N}$
- $\mathcal{Q} \longrightarrow$ two-party physical quantity
- Q will be monogamous if a high amount of $Q(\rho_{12})$ implies that neither the party 1 nor the party 2 will be able to share a substantial amount of Q with any other party
- Sharing of classical correlations of a multiparty quantum system does not have any such restriction



Shared purity: Quantum property of shared systems

Theorem

If two quantum systems, irrespective of their dimensions, have the maximal amount of shared purity, they cannot share any purity with any third quantum system.

Proof.

- Three-party system ho_{123} in $\mathbb{C}^{d_1}\otimes\mathbb{C}^{d_2}\otimes\mathbb{C}^{d_3}$
- Assumption: $S_P(\rho_{12}) = (1 1/d) \longrightarrow (\text{maximal})$, where $d = \min\{d_1, d_2\}$
- Now, $S_P(
 ho_{12})=1-1/d$, only for a pure state ho_{12}
- Therefore, ho_{123} must be of the form $ho_{12}\otimes
 ho_3$
- Therefore, $S_P(\rho_{13}) = S_P(\rho_{23}) = 0$
- Shared purity is qualitatively monogamous

The monogamy condition

$$S_P(\rho_{12}) + S_P(\rho_{13}) \le S_P^{1:23}(\rho_{123})$$

- The generalized GHZ states always satisfy the monogamy condition
- The generalized W states always violate it
- However, the above statements are not true for the GHZ class and W class states

- $|\psi\rangle^G_{GHZ} = \cos\theta|000\rangle + e^{i\phi}\sin\theta|111\rangle$, $\theta \in [0,\pi]$ and $\phi \in [0,2\pi)$
- $\rho_{1j}^G = \cos^2 \theta |00\rangle \langle 00|_{1j} + \sin^2 \theta |11\rangle \langle 11|_{1j}, j \in \{2,3\}$
- Since the state is classically correlated, $S_P(\rho_{1j}^G)=0 \ \forall j$
- Since $|\psi\rangle^G_{GHZ}$ is a pure state, $F^{1:23}_G=1$
- $F_L^{1:23} = \max\{\cos^2\theta, \sin^2\theta\}$ (calculated)
- $S_P^{1:23}(|\psi\rangle_{GHZ}^G) = 1 \max\{\cos^2\theta, \sin^2\theta\}$
- Monogamy condition is satisfied

Generalized W state

- $|\psi\rangle_W^G = \sin\theta_1 \cos\theta_2 |001\rangle + \sin\theta_1 \sin\theta_2 e^{i\phi_1} |010\rangle + \cos\theta_1 e^{i\phi_2} |100\rangle$
- $\delta_{S_P} = S_P(\rho_{1:23}) (S_P(\rho_{12}) + S_P(\rho_{13})) \longrightarrow$ shared purity monogamy score



1 Motivation

- 2 Shared purity: definition & properties
- 3 Bipartite and multipartite mixed states: some examples
- Monogamy properties
- 5 Application: detecting criticality in quantum spin models

Summary

Anisotropic quantum XY spin chain in one dimension

•
$$H_{XY} = \frac{J}{2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^{N} (1+\gamma) \sigma_i^x \sigma_{i+1}^x + (1-\gamma) \sigma_i^y \sigma_{i+1}^y \right) + h \sum_{i=1}^{N} \sigma_i^z$$

• $J \longrightarrow$ coupling constant for the nearest neighbor interaction

- $\gamma \in (0,1] \longrightarrow$ anisotropy parameter
- σ 's \longrightarrow the Pauli spin matrices
- $h \longrightarrow$ the external transverse magnetic field
- Periodic boundary condition is assumed

- H_{XY} can be diagonalized by applying Jordan-Wigner, Fourier, and Bogoliubov transformations successively
- At zero temperature, the system undergoes a quantum phase transition driven by the external transverse magnetic field
- $\bullet\,$ Concurrence, geometric measures, quantum discord \longrightarrow detects this transition



Figure : Concurrence detects quantum phase transition.

A. Osterloh, L. Amico, G. Falci and R. Fazio, Nature 416, 608, (2002)

We investigate the behavior of the shared purity of the nearest neighbor density matrix of the ground state near the known quantum critical point at $\lambda = \frac{h}{J} = 1$

- $F_G \longrightarrow$ maximum eigenvalue of the density matrix ho_{AB}
- $F_L \longrightarrow$ obtained by numerical maximization of the density matrix ρ_{AB} with respect to the product states in $\mathbb{C}^2 \otimes \mathbb{C}^2$



Figure : Shared purity detects quantum phase transition.

- Scaling analysis → helps us to understand the viability of detecting the critical point in finite-sized systems
- the point of divergence approaches $\lambda = \lambda_c$ as $N^{-1.40}$, *i.e.*,

$$\lambda = \lambda_c + k N^{-1.40}$$

- For concurrence, $\lambda \longrightarrow \lambda_c$ as $N^{-1.87}$
- For quantum discord, $\lambda \longrightarrow \lambda_c$ as $N^{-1.28}$



Figure : Finite-size scaling analysis for shared purity. ($\gamma = 0.8$)

1 Motivation

- 2 Shared purity: definition & properties
- 3 Bipartite and multipartite mixed states: some examples
- 4 Monogamy properties
- 5 Application: detecting criticality in quantum spin models

6 Summary

- New property of shared quantum systems
- Different from quantum correlations
 - can be nonzero for unentangled states
 - can be zero for entangled states
- Quantum property (monogamous)
- Scaling in transverse Ising model different from both entanglement & discord

A. B., A. Sen(De), U. Sen, arXiv:1306.0669

Thank you.